BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

M.A. No. 189 of 2016 In Original Application No. 37 of 2015 And Original Application No. 154 of 2016 In

Original Application No. 37 of 2015

IN THE MATTER OF :

S.P. Muthuraman Vs. Union of India &Ors.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. NAMBIAR, JUDICIAL MEMBER HON'BLE PROF. DR. R. NAGENDRAN, EXPERT MEMBER HON'BLE PROF. A.R. YOUSUF, EXPERT MEMBER HON'BLE MR. B.S. SAJWAN, EXPERT MEMBER

Present:

Mr. Pinaki Misra, Sr. Adv., Mr. R. Chandrachud, Adv. in
M.A. No. 189 of 2016
Mr. Rahul Pratap, Adv. for MoEF
Mr. R. Venkataramani, Sr. Adv., AAG Mr. R. Rakesh
Sharma, Standing Counsel for State of Tamil Nadu and TNPCB
Mr. Sakshi Popli, Adv. SEIAA, TN

		Colorent and the second secon second second sec
	Date and	Orders of the Tribunal
	Remarks	
	Item Nos.	M.A. No. 189 of 2016 In Original Application No. 37 of 2015
	03 & 04	And
	A 11	Original Application No. 154 of 2016 In Original
	April 22,	Application No. 37 of 2015
	2016	
V	A	M/s SSM Builders and Promoters has filed this
		Mys com Danaers and Frenoters has med this
		Applications for leave to start construction and completion
	4	Applications for leave to start construction and completion
-	1 5	
		of its Housing Projects which was subject matter of the
		main Application.
		TAN MARY
		We have heard the Learned counsel appearing for
	1	TRIDO
		the parties at length. Vide detailed judgement of the
		Tribunal dated 07 th April, 2015 we had directed this
		Builder to pay a compensation of Rs. 36 crores and have
		Bunder to pay a compensation of its. of crores and nave
		also directed appointment of High Powered Committee to
		also uncelled appointment of right towered committee to
		in an est the manuface and makes their measured stimus in
		inspect the premises and make their recommendations in
		relation to the various aspects which were covered under
		the Environmental Clearance. The report of the
		Committee dated 18th December, 2015 has been filed on
		record. The Committee has not recommended the

demolition of the project in part or entirely. On the other hand it has recommended certain measures be taken for the purposes of permitting the project to be completed in accordance with law. The report of the Committee is accepted.

After submission of the report SEIAA, Tamil Nadu has passed order granting Environmental Clearance with a number of conditions now imposed vide their letters dated 26th February, 2016, 04th April, 2016 and 20th April, 2016.

Since the project has been granted Environmental Clearance by SEIAA Tamil Nadu and the Project Proponent has also paid the amount directed under the judgment, it is necessary for us to dispose of these matters with certain further additional terms and conditions over and above the conditions imposed in the order granting Environmental Clearance to the Project Proponent.

Learned counsel appearing for the Project Proponent has submitted that it will comply with all the terms and conditions stated in the order of Environmental Clearance as well as such other additional conditions as may be imposed by the Tribunal but Project Proponent may be permitted to continue construction of its Project and complete the same expeditiously.

Since the Project Proponent has satisfied the basic directions issued by the Tribunal and has also received the order granting Environmental Clearance afore stated, we pass the following directions:-

1. Subject to the Project Proponent strictly adhering and complying with the directions, terms and conditions issued in the order granting Environmental Clearance and the orders granted by all the Authorities in this matter, we permit the Project Proponent to continue activity of its project and complete the same in accordance with law.

2. In addition to the conditions stated in the Environmental Clearance the following three conditions shall be carried out by all the concerned Authorities and particularly the Project Proponent.

(i)

- SEIAA, Tamil Nadu shall verify the compliance of all pre-construction conditions stipulated in the Environmental Clearance and shall effect a joint inspection before allowing third party interests.
- (ii) Project Proponent shall make efforts to use the treated waste water optimally within the premises. For the surplus quantity, Project Proponent shall seek expert opinion in respect of the deep well/ deep bore injection of treated waste water from the Institute of Hydrology/ Anna University, Chennai.
- (iii) The natural drainage shall be maintained without any concretization.
 Wherever natural storm water drains have been obliterated, they shall be rerouted properly so that flooding/ ponding does not occur, even during monsoon.

The Project Proponent would be entitled to complete his project but will not give possession any third party, till unless the joint inspection team consisting of SEIAA, Tamil Nadu, Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board and representative of MoEF inspects the project and submit a report of satisfactory compliance of all the conditions stated in this order. Project Proponent shall give atleast two weeks' Notice to this Committee, requesting for inspection of the premises. Once the inspection report is submitted to the Tribunal and is found to be satisfactory, the Project Proponent can proceed with its project further in accordance with law. This order would be without prejudice to the orders passed by all the other competent Authorities and also without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the parties.

With the above directions, M.A. No. 189 of 2016 In Original Application No. 37 of 2015 and Original Application No. 154 of 2016 stand disposed of without any order as to costs.

>,CP (Swatanter Kumar)

....,JM (M.S. Nambiar)

....,EM (Prof. Dr. R. Nagendran)

	,EM (Prof. A.R. Yousuf)	
	,EM (B.S. Sajwan)	

